CGCD DAC BEACHCROFT

SURVEYORS & VALUERS



- Mortgage Express v Countywide Surveying Services [2016] EWHC 244 / 1830
- Governors of & Bank of Ireland (UK) PLC v Watts Group PLC [2017] EWHC 1667
- Dunfermline Building Society v CBRE Limited [20017] EWHC 2745



- 64 apartment valuations June 2005
- Capital values £245,000
- Rental values £1,300 £1,540 per month
- True Rental values £750 per month
- Claimed losses £3,386,304
- Interest as Damages/ Interest on Damages
- o £1,395,456 / £196,731
- Take Aways
- o Plead & Prove
- Beware private equity / challenger banks



- Purchase & Development loan £1,400,000 June 2007
- o 11 apartment development with projected GDV £2m
- Building Works £999,099 / Developer Profit £200,000
- SPV Borrower part of Modus Group (£20m pre-existing)
- Claimed losses £754,414
- Defendant expert fee £1,500 / Bank expert £24,000
- Bank solicitors same instructing/liaising with expert
- $\circ~$ Where disagreement between experts, preferred Defendant's expert
- Upshot no breach of duty on Defendant's part BUT



- Fact Modus no experience in speculative residential development
- 3 out of 4 of its own guidelines
- Incredibly thin developer profit (10%)
- Pre-existing £20m exposure to Modus / Savills caveats
- Master of its own downfall / sufficient to break causation
- Alternatively 75% discount for contributory negligence
- Take Aways
- How close is expert to those instructing
- Fee will assisting in defining the nature and scope of the duty of care
- "take view 75% is about the maximum that can be deducted"



- April 2007 valuation at £17.5m
- Six acre site with PP for 535 residential unit scheme
- May 2008 costs spiralled by £21m / DTZ valued at -£5.235m
- Claimed losses £3.25m (SAAMCO)
- Bank said worth only £14.25m
- Defendant said worth £16.25m
- Take Aways
- Offer price carries some weight / prior ones would if not too old
- +/-15% either way justified even though PP in place /detailed costings report



Current Trends



- Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd v Williams & Waistell [2018] EWCA Civ 1514
- Davies v Marshalls Ltd & Connells Survey & Valuation (2018) unreported

JKW

- Next PPI / Endowment claim tsunami?
- Increasing volumes
- RICS Guidance Note 2012
- Categorisation 1 4 / 7 metres habitable space
- Academic Research equivocal
- Expert evidence questionable
- Remediation companies / evidence of visibility
- Pool of valuation surveyors 6% without cogent proof
- o £243,630 / £14,620



Current Trends

- Structural Defects
- Property Management
- Short Term Lending : Purchase / Development Finance
- Challenger Banks / BTL / HMO portfolios



Future Predictions

- o Limitation
- Ground Rents
- o Criminality
- PropTech
- Corporate Failures / Mergers
- Cyber & Data Protection
- Responsible Lending



• Boris, Brexit & Beyond

"A brutal price war is pushing Britain's biggest lenders into risky mortgages" "There are now 44 lenders offering 95% mortgages" "The price battle has been stoked by the arrival of challenger banks such as Atom & Metro" "Bank of England deputy governor, Sam Woods, warned that the central bank would "be watching like a hawk""

Sunday Times 26 May 2019

てんこう

dacbeachcroft.com

𝒴 Follow us: @dacbeachcroft

DAC Beachcroft publications are created on a general basis for information only and do not constitute legal or other professional advice. No liability is accepted to users or third parties for the use of the contents or any errors or inaccuracies therein. Professional advice should always be obtained before applying the information to particular circumstances. For further details please go to www.dacbeachcroft.com/en/gb/about/legal-notice. Please also read our DAC Beachcroft Group privacy policy at www.dacbeachcroft.com/en/gb/about/privacy-policy. By reading this publication you accept that you have read, understood and agree to the terms of this disclaimer. The copyright in this communication is retained by DAC Beachcroft. © DAC Beachcroft.